


NEIFELD IP LAW, P.C.  
4813-B Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, Virginia 22304

Tel: 703-415-0012  
Fax: 703-415-0013  
Email: [rneifeld@Neifeld.com](mailto:rneifeld@Neifeld.com)  
Web: [www.Neifeld.com](http://www.Neifeld.com)



**37 CFR 1.7(c) FILING RECEIPT AND  
TRANSMITTAL LETTER WITH  
AUTHORIZATION TO CHARGE DEPOSIT ACCOUNT**

Neifeld Docket No.: HENN0021U-US

Client Ref.: 1.157.U5

US/PCT Application/Patent No.: 09/931,694

US/PCT File/Issue Date: 8/16/2001

Priority Data: DE98/01182

USPTO Confirmation No.: 3407

Inventor: FRANK PUTTKAMMER

Title: Method of testing documents provided with optico-diffractively effective markings

**THE FOLLOWING HAS BEEN RECEIVED IN THE U.S. PATENT OFFICE ON  
THE DATE STAMPED HEREON:**

Check for \$750.00

37 CFR 1.7(c) Filing Receipt and Transmittal Letter with Authorization to Charge Deposit Account (1 page in duplicate)

37 CFR 1.37 Petition to Revive Due to Unintentional Abandonment (3 pages)

Replacement Sheets of Corrected Drawings (2 pages)

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 50-2106. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully Submitted,

8/8/06  
DATE

[Signature]  
Richard A. Neifeld  
Registration No. 35,299  
Attorney of Record

	PcLaw Matter	Lawyer	Amount	Explanation	Date Entered	Initials
Fees:						
Fees:						
Disbursements:	PcLaw Matter AAA0001	G/L Account 5010	Amount \$750.00	Explanation HENN0021U-US, Government Fee for petition to revive	Date Entered 8/8/06	Initials RLB

Printed: September 30, 2005 (1:31pm)

Y:\Info\FirmForms\Firms\_Patent\US\Templates-DoNotUse\Combined\_37\_CFR1\_6\_FilingReceiptOfCorrespondence\_TransmittalLetter.wpd

DOCKET NO: HENN0021U-US



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: FRANK PUTTKAMMER

APPLICATION NUMBER: 09/931,694

CONFIRMATION NO: 3407

GROUP: 2858

EXAMINER: Wasseem HAMDAN

FILED: August 16, 2001

FOR: Method of Testing Documents Provided with Optico-Diffractively Effective Markings

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313

37 CFR 1.37 Petition to Revive Due to Unintentional Abandonment

Sir:

Enclosed please find applicant's 37 CFR § 1.37 Petition to Revive Due to Unintentional Abandonment.

08/09/2006 JADD01 00000035 09931694  
01 FC:2453 750.00 OP

I. Relief Requested

The applicant requests that this application be revived due to an unintentional abandonment.

II. Statement of Material Facts

On March 22, 2006, the examiner mailed a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due including a requirement to correct drawings.

This office failed to notice the requirement that corrected drawings needed to be submitted.

On May 2, 2006, the applicant filed The Issue Fee; a Filing Receipt and Transmittal Letter with Authorization to Charge Deposition; a check for \$1000.00, Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal, 37 CFR 1.9(g) and 1.27(c) Declaration claiming small entity status and USPTO Bibliographic Data Sheet confirming small entity status. However, the applicant did not file the required corrected drawings.

On August 4, 2006, the applicant received a notice of abandonment indicting that required corrected drawings were not received.

This petition is accompanied by the required corrected drawings. This petition is accompanied by the \$750 fee for small entities.

The entire delay in filing the requested corrected drawings from the due date of the corrected drawings until the filing of this petition was unintentional.

III. Statement of the Reasons Why the Relief Requested Should be Granted

A. Requirement to grant the petition

This petition meets the regulatory requirements for grants as noted below. The entire delay in filing the requested corrected drawings from the due date of the corrected drawings until the filing of this grantable petition was unintentional.

This petition is accompanied by copies of the requested corrected drawings.

This petition is accompanied by the fee of \$750 for small entities as set forth in §1.17(m).

This application was filed after 12/8/2005, and it is a utility application. Therefore no terminal disclaimer is required to grant this petition.


The foregoing shows the regulatory requirements for granting a petition to revive are satisfied.


B. Diligence upon Discovery and lack of Intent to Abandon.

The applicant never intended to abandon the application as indicated by its response, albeit incomplete, to the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due mailed March 22, 2006. The requirement to file corrected drawings was not noticed until the receipt of the Notice of Abandonment mailed August 1, 2006 and received August 4, 2006. The applicant immediately responded to the Notice of Abandonment with this Petition and the requested corrected drawings.

IV. This petition should be granted.

Respectfully Submitted,

  
Date

  
Richard A. Neifeld, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 35,299  
Attorney of Record

DHS

August 8, 2006 (12:00pm)

Y:\Clients\Hennings\HENN0021\HENN0021U-US\Drafts\PetitiontoRevise.wpd